The Generalised Feynman Integral

MIROSLAV PARDY

Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Science, Kotlářská 2, Brno, Czechoslovakia

Received: 7 June 1972

Abstract

The Feynman integral is generalised so as to involve the fluctuations of vacuum, from this integral the generalised Schrödinger equation is derived and the energy spectrum for the Coulomb potential determined.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to generalise the Feynman integral over paths in the case where we consider fluctuations of the vacuum, and to derive the generalised Schrödinger equation.

First, let us remember Feynman's fictitious experiment by means of which he arrives at the integral over paths (Feynman & Hibbs, 1965).

A source of electrons is considered in this experiment and a movable detector is fixed at a certain distance from the source. Between the source and the detector is put a screen with two holes, 1 and 2, in it.

Now, we investigate the probability that an electron will arrive in the detector at various vertical distances x from the source.

If we block hole 2, the particle will pass through hole 1, and if we block hole 1 the particle will go through hole 2. The probability $P_1(x)$ to find a particle at point x will be given in the first case like this:

$$P_1(x) = |\phi_1(x)|^2 \tag{1.1}$$

where $\phi_1(x)$ is the complex function called the probability amplitude corresponding to the path going through hole 1 in the detector.

In the second case the probability to find a particle at point x is $P_2(x)$ and it holds good:

$$P_2(x) = |\phi_2(x)|^2 \tag{1.2}$$

where $\phi_2(x)$ is the probability amplitude corresponding to the path going through hole 2 in the detector.

Copyright © 1973 Plenum Publishing Company Limited. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of Plenum Publishing Company Limited.

MIROSLAV PARDY

If we leave open both holes, the probability of finding a particle at point x will be given like this:

$$P(x) = |\phi_1(x) + \phi_2(x)|^2 \tag{1.3}$$

Now, let us consider that there is not only one screen between the source and the detector but that there are N screens, each of them having M holes, with width $\Delta(A_i, B_i)_j$, where A_i , B_i are x-coordinates of the borders of the holes, j being an index belonging to the jth screen, j = 1, 2, ..., N and i being an index belonging to the ith hole, $i = 1, 2, ..., M; \Delta$ denotes the length of the interval (,). We can say that the trajectory of the particle rises in such a way that the particle goes through interval (a_1, b_1) at the time t_1 , through the interval (a_2, b_2) at the time $t_2, ...,$ through the interval (a_i, b_n) at the time t_n , where (a_i, b_i) are intervals from the set of intervals $(A_i, B_i)_j$. According to Feynman, the probability amplitude $\phi(x)$ belongs to this trajectory. If we choose another set of numbers t_k , (a_k, b_k) , we get other alternative path of the particle.

It is obvious that there are a great many of these alternative trajectories, and therefore the total amplitude for the process is the sum of the amplitudes for each route considered separately.

For the case $N \rightarrow \infty$, $M \rightarrow \infty$ all trajectories will be continuous functions of the time t, and the total probability amplitude for the transition of a particle from point a to point b is as follows:

$$U(b,a) = \sum_{\substack{\text{over all} \\ \text{trajectories} \\ \text{from } a \text{ to } b}} \phi[x(t)]$$
(1.4)

where x(t) is the x-coordinate of a path. The amplitude is postulated as follows:

$$\phi[x(t)] = \frac{1}{A} \exp\{(i/h) S[x(t)]\}$$
(1.5)

where

$$S = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} L[\dot{x}(t); x(t)] dt$$
 (1.6)

is the classical Hamilton-Jacobi action function and L is the Lagrange function.

Feynman & Hibbs (1965) have shown that the probability amplitude $U(x,x_0)$ concerning the transition of the particle from point x_0 to point x satisfies the integral equation

$$U(x, x_0) = \int U(x, x_1) U(x_1, x_0) dx_1$$
(1.7)

If we put $U(x, x_0) = \psi(x)$, $U(x_1, x_0) = \psi(x_1)$, we get

$$\psi(x) = \int U(x, x_1) \,\psi(x_1) \,dx_1$$

or generally

$$\psi(x,t) = \int U(x,t;x_1,t_1) \,\psi(x_1,t_1) \,dx_1 \tag{1.8}$$

and the function $\psi(x, t)$ may be interpreted as the wave function of quantum mechanics.

For an infinitesimal transition from point x_k and time t_k in point x_{k+1} and time t_{k+1} , we can write (Blokhintsev, 1966):

$$U(x_{k+1}, t_{k+1}; x_k, t_k) = \frac{1}{A} \exp\{(i/h) S[x_{k+1}, x_k, \Delta t]\}$$
(1.9)

where

$$S[x_{k+1}, x_k, \Delta t] = \left[\frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{x_{k+1} - x_k}{\Delta t}\right)^2 - V(x_{k+1})\right] \Delta t; \qquad \Delta t = t_{k+1} - t_k, \qquad t_{k+1} > t_k \quad (1.10)$$

2. Fluctuations of the Vacuum and the Integral over Paths

Let us notice term (1.4)

$$U(x_1 x_0) = \sum_{\substack{\text{over all} \\ \text{trajectories} \\ \text{from } x_0 \text{ to } x}} \frac{1}{A} \exp\{(i/h) S[x(t)]\}$$
(2.1)

We can see that all amplitudes $\exp\{(i/h)S[x(t)]\}\$ are multiplied only by a constant 1/A. In other words, the Feynman sum is constructed in such a way that all trajectories are considered equivalent and therefore equally probable.

The question arises, as to how the formulation of the problem must be changed if we include the fluctuations of the vacuum. It is obvious that these fluctuations cause perturbations of the considered trajectories and, no doubt, in such a way that some trajectories will be less probable and others more probable. We arrive at this conclusion because it is obvious from the viewpoint of statistical mechanics that the particle will perform the Brownian motion as a result of accidental fluctuations.

This motion is described by the Wiener measure μ (Gelfand & Yaglom, 1956):

 $\mu =$

$$\int_{a_{1}a_{2}}^{b_{1}b_{2}} \cdots \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}} \exp -\frac{(x_{1}-x_{0})^{2}}{\frac{4D(t_{1}-t_{0})}{[(4\pi D)^{n}(t_{1}-t_{0})(t_{2}-t_{1})]}} - \cdots -\frac{(x_{n}-x_{n-1})^{2}}{\frac{4D(t_{n}-t_{n-1})}{[(4\pi D)^{n}(t_{1}-t_{0})(t_{2}-t_{1}),\cdots,(t_{n}-t_{n-1})]^{1/2}}}{(x_{1}dx_{2}\cdots dx_{n}(2.2))}$$

the physical meaning of measure μ being as follows: measure μ determines the probability that the particle goes through the interval a_1 , b_1 at the time t_1 , through the interval (a_2, b_2) at the time t_2 , ..., through the interval (a_n, b_n) at the time t_n , $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n$. The constant D in the formula (2.2) is the so-called coefficient of diffusion, and it involves size, mass, etc. of the elementary particle.

MIROSLAV PARDY

In consideration of what was said, we are forced to make an 'intuitive' assumption that the amplitude $U(x, x_0)$ will involve the effect of fluctuations after multiplying term $[\exp(i/h)S]/A$ by μ , where μ is given by (2.2). For the infinitesimal transition from point x_k to point x_{k+1} we can write (Montroll, 1952):

$$\mu(x_{k+1}, x_k) = \frac{1}{(4\pi D\Delta t)^{1/2}} \exp[-(x_{k+1} - x_k)^2/4D\Delta t]$$
(2.3)

and therefore for $U(x_{k+1}, t_{k+1}; x_k, t_k)$ we get

$$U(x_{k+1}, t_{k+1}; x_k, t_k) \to \frac{1}{A(4\pi D\Delta t)^{1/2}} \exp[-(x_{k+1} - x_k)^2/4D\Delta t] \exp\{(i/h) S[x_{k+1}, x_k, \Delta t]\}$$
(2.4)

Now we can arrive at deriving the generalised Schrödinger equation.

3. The Generalised Schrödinger Equation

We said in the introduction that ψ satisfies the equation

$$\psi(x_{k+1}, t_{k+1}) = \int U(x_{k+1}, t_{k+1}; x_k, t_k) \,\psi(x_k, t_k) \,dx_k \tag{3.1}$$

If we insert (2.4) into (3.1) and put

$$x_{k+1} - x_k = \zeta; \qquad x_{k+1} = x; \qquad t_k = t$$

$$t_{k+1} - t_k = \delta; \qquad \alpha = \frac{m}{2h\delta}; \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{4D\delta}$$

$$\exp\left[-(i/h) V(x) \delta\right] \approx 1 - \frac{i}{h} V(x) \delta$$
(3.2)

we get from equation (3.1) the following equation

$$\psi(x,t+\delta) = \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(i\alpha\zeta^2 - \beta\zeta^2) \left[1 - \frac{i\delta}{h}V(x)\right] \psi(x-\zeta,t) d\zeta \quad (3.3)$$

Obviously

$$\psi(x,t+\delta) = \psi(x,t) + \delta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \cdots$$
$$\psi(x-\zeta,t) = \psi(x,t) - \zeta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \zeta^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \cdots$$

We can write instead of (3.3) that

$$\psi(x,t) + \delta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \dots = \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(i\alpha\zeta^2 - \beta\zeta^2) \left[\psi(x,t) - \zeta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \zeta^2 - \frac{i\delta}{h} V \cdot \psi + \frac{i\delta}{h} V \cdot \zeta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - \frac{i\delta}{2h} V \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \zeta^2 \right] d\zeta \quad (3.4)$$

After modification of equation (3.4) we get

$$\psi(x,t) + \delta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \dots = \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{J_1}{A} \psi - \frac{J_2}{A} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \frac{J_3}{2A} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{i\delta}{Ah} J_1 \cdot V \cdot \psi + \frac{i\delta}{A \cdot h} J_2 \cdot V \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - \frac{i\delta}{2hA} J_3 \cdot V \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \right\}$$
(3.5)

where

$$J_{1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(i\alpha\zeta^{2} - \beta\zeta^{2}) d\zeta$$
$$J_{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(i\alpha\zeta^{2} - \beta\zeta^{2}) \zeta d\zeta$$
$$J_{3} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(i\alpha\zeta^{2} - \beta\zeta^{2}) \zeta^{2} d\zeta$$

We can see that there is an odd function in J_2 . Therefore, $J_2 = 0$. For two remaining integrals we get, according to Gradhshtein & Ryzhik (1963):

$$J_{1} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 \cdot \sqrt[4]{4} (\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2})} \exp[(i/2) \arctan(\alpha/\beta)]$$
$$J_{3} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt[4]{4} [(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2})^{3}]} \cdot \exp[\frac{3}{2}i \arctan(\alpha/\beta)]$$

We easily find that

$$\frac{J_3}{J_1} = -\frac{2i\delta}{h} \cdot \gamma$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{h \cdot D}{\left[1 + (\alpha^2 / \beta^2)\right]} \left[i - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right]$$
(3.6)

and γ is not dependent on δ . If we put

$$A = J_1 \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \quad + \quad$$

we can write instead of (3.5) that

$$\psi(x,t) + \delta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \cdots = \psi - \frac{i\delta}{h} \gamma \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{i\delta}{h} \cdot V \cdot \psi - \frac{1}{h^2} \delta^2 \gamma \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \cdots$$

After comparing the coefficients with δ and modification, we get

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \gamma\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} + V\cdot\psi \qquad (3.7)$$

The last equation is the generalised Schrödinger equation for the onedimensional case only. For the case of three dimensions, we can write

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \gamma \nabla^2 \psi + V \cdot \psi \tag{3.8}$$

We can easily verify that

$$\lim_{D\to\infty} \gamma = -\frac{h^2}{2m}$$

therefore, equation (3.8) converges to the Schrödinger equation for $D \rightarrow \infty$.

4. The Energy Spectrum for the Coulomb Potential.

Let us look for the solution of equation (3.8) in the form

$$\psi = \exp[-(i/h)\varepsilon t) \cdot \phi \tag{4.1}$$

After inserting (4.1) into (3.8) we get

$$\varepsilon\phi = \gamma \nabla^2 \phi + V \cdot \phi \tag{4.2}$$

By putting

$$\gamma = -\frac{h^2}{2m'} \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$m' = m + \delta m \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\delta m = \frac{ih}{2D} \tag{4.5}$$

we can write instead of equation (3.2) the following equation

$$\varepsilon\phi = -\frac{h^2}{2m'}\nabla^2\phi + V\cdot\phi \tag{4.6}$$

where m' is the complex quantity.

The fact that the mass m' is the complex quantity we interpret in such a way that we consider the complex mass as the mathematical notion suitable for the physical application.

We arrive at this interpretation after comparing it with other authors who use in a similar way the complex quantities which have no immediate physical content.

Popov et al. (1967) have introduced imaginary time for the description of the quasistationary processes. The complex potentials for describing the atomic collisions has been used by Mizuno & Chen (1971). Lee & Wick (1969) have arrived at the complex mass in the consequence of the indefinite metric, and Yamamoto & Kudo (1971) have considered the complex mass to be an integral part of quantum theory of fields.

Now we can start with the determination of the energy spectrum.

36

We know from quantum mechanics (Merzbacher, 1970) that the energy spectrum for the radial equation for equation (3.6) with the Coulomb potential

$$V = -\frac{Ze^2}{r}$$

is given by the following expression:

$$\varepsilon_n = -\frac{Z^2 m' e^4}{2n^2 h^2} \tag{4.7}$$

By putting

$$E_n = -\frac{Z^2 m e^4}{2n^2 h^2} \tag{4.8}$$

where m is the classical mass of the electron, we get with regard to (4.4) and (4.5):

$$\varepsilon_n = E_n \left(1 + \frac{i\hbar}{2mD} \right) \tag{4.9}$$

In the end we have for the wave function the expression

$$\psi = \exp[-(i/h) E_n t] \cdot \exp[-(\Gamma_n/2) \cdot t] \cdot \phi$$
(4.10)

where

$$\Gamma_n = -\frac{E_n}{mD}$$

that is

$$\Gamma_n = \frac{e^4}{2n^2 h^2 D} \tag{4.11}$$

We note that the motion of the electron in the Coulomb potential is not stationary but quasistationary as a result of the term $\exp[-(\Gamma_n/2)t]$ in the wave function.

It is obvious that the vacuum fluctuations will also effectively modify the potential V(r) in which the electron moves. The classical derivation of this effect was performed by Welton (1948).

References

Blokhintsev, D. I. (1966). The Fundamental Questions of Quantum Mechanics. Nauka Moscow, Chapter 16.2 (in Russian).

Feynman, R. P. and Hibbs, A. R. (1965). *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*. McGraw-Hill, New York.

Gelfand, I. M. and Yaglom, A. M. (1956). Uspekhi matematicheskikh nauk., XI, 77.

Gradhshtein, I. S. and Ryzhik, I. M. (1963). Tables of Integrals, Sums, Series and Products GIFML, Moscow pp. 498 and 514.

Lee, T. D. and Wick, G. C. (1969). Nuclear Physics, B9, 209.

Merzbacher, E. (1970). *Quantum Mechanics*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, p. 204. Mizuno, J. and Chen, J. C. Y. *Physical Review*, A4, 1500.

Montroll, E. W. (1952). Communications on Pure and Applied Physics, 5, 415.

Popov, V. S., Kuznetzov, V. P. and Perelomov, A. M. (1967). JEFT, 53, 331.

Welton, T. (1948). Physical Review, 74, 1157.

Yamamoto, H. and Kudo, K. (1971). Progress of Theoretical Physics, 46, 1278.